

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,975	07/07/2003	Michael Redecker	6161.0066.AA	6522
75	90 12/30/2004		EXAMINER	
McGuireWoods LLP			QUARTERMAN, KEVIN J	
Tysons Corner Suite 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1750 Tysons Bo	oulevard	2879		
McLean, VA 22102-4215			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- Str				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/612,975	REDECKER, MICHAEL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin Quarterman	2879				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 O	ctober 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)🛛 🖰	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No				
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate tatent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-19, in the reply filed on 12 October 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden, since the subject matter of all claims is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining claims. This is not found persuasive because the Examiner has satisfied the requirements, as set forth in MPEP § 808.02, for establishing undue burden. In particular, the Examiner has shown separate classification of the inventions and also a different field of search for the invention.
- 2. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim states "the emitter layer is formed of at least one of a low molecular organic material, a light-emitting polymer, and the light-emitting polymer is a material selected from the group comprising..." It appears that the term "and" should be placed between the phrases "low molecular organic material" and "a light-emitting polymer" to make the claim more comprehensible. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2879

5. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are the display, its emitter layer, and the excitation light source. The emitter layer receives light from the excitation light source, but there is no structural cooperative relationship between the emitter layer and the excitation light source.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1-9 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arnold (US 6747618).
- 9. Regarding independent claim 1, Figure 6 of Arnold shows a display comprising a substrate (154) with a plurality of sub-pixels arranged on at least a first side of the

Application/Control Number: 10/612,975

Art Unit: 2879

substrate, wherein each sub-pixel comprises a first electrode (156) having a first polarity; a second electrode (158) having a second polarity; and an emitter layer (164), wherein the emitter layer is interposed between the first electrode and the second electrode. The Examiner notes that the functional recitations of the emitter layer have not been given patentable weight, since apparatus claims must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function (MPEP § 2114). Since Figure 7 of Miller shows each of the claimed structural limitations, the structure of Miller inherently possesses the capability of performing the claimed functions.

- 10. Regarding claim 2, Arnold discloses the substrate being formed of a transparent material (col. 7, ln. 1-5), the first electrode being formed of a transparent material (col. 7, ln. 15-20), and the second electrode being formed of a light-reflecting material (col. 11, ln. 15-18).
- 11. Regarding claim 3, Figure 6 of Arnold shows the first electrode adjacent to the first side of the substrate and formed of a light-reflecting material, and the second electrode formed of a transparent material (col. 7, In. 15-35).
- 12. Regarding claim 4, Arnold discloses the substrate formed of a light-reflecting material and the first electrode and second electrode formed of a transparent material (col. 7, ln. 1-35).
- 13. Regarding claim 5, Arnold discloses the substrate formed of a transparent material and providing a dielectric mirror to the structure (col. 11, ln. 13-21), the first electrode and second electrode being formed of a transparent material (col. 7, ln. 15-35).

Art Unit: 2879

14. Regarding claim 6, Arnold discloses the substrate formed of a transparent material and providing a dielectric mirror to the structure (col. 11, ln. 13-21), the first electrode and second electrode being formed of a transparent material (col. 7, ln. 15-35).

- 15. Regarding claim 7, expressions relating to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of an apparatus claim (MPEP § 2115).
- 16. Regarding claim 8, Arnold discloses the emitter layer formed of at least one of a low molecular organic material and a light-emitting polymer, the light-emitting polymer being a material selected from the group comprising polyphenylene vinylene or the group comprising polyfluorene (col. 8, In. 51-67).
- 17. Regarding claim 9, Figure 6 of Arnold shows a hole transport layer (162) interposed between the first electrode and the emitter layer, the first electrode being an anode and the hole transport layer being formed of at least one of polyethylene dioxy thiophene, polystryrene sulfone acid, and polyaniline (col. 8, ln. 46-50).
- 18. Regarding claim 13, Arnold discloses an optical unit which can adjust the light emitted from the emitter layer (col. 11, ln. 13-23).
- 19. Regarding claim 14, Arnold discloses a screen on which an image is formed with the light emitted from the emitter layer (col. 3, ln. 42).
- 20. Regarding claim 15, the functional recitations of the dielectric mirror has not been given patentable weight since apparatus claims must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function (MPEP § 2114).

Art Unit: 2879

21. Regarding claim 16, the functional recitations of the dielectric mirror has not been given patentable weight since apparatus claims must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function (MPEP § 2114).

- 22. Regarding claim 17, Arnold discloses the dielectric mirror including a plurality of layers having different refractive indices (col. 11, ln. 13-28).
- 23. Regarding claim 18, Arnold discloses the dielectric mirror including a plurality of layers having different refractive indices (col. 11, ln. 13-28).
- 24. Regarding claim 19, Arnold discloses a low-refractive index layer formed of at least one of silicon dioxide, silicon nitride, and magnesium fluoride, and a high-refractive index layer formed of at least one of titanium dioxide, tin oxide, zirconium oxide, and tantalic oxide (col. 11, ln. 4-28).

Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shirasaki (US 5834894) discloses a carrier injection type organic electro-luminescent device.

Art Unit: 2879

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Quarterman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Quarterman Examiner

Art Unjt 2879

22 December 2004

Joseph Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879

Joseph Williams